



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,659	02/20/2007	Peter Schwind	BPD-102US	5376
31344	7590	08/21/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA				
P.O. BOX 1596				
WILMINGTON, DE 19899				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, BAO THUY L.				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1641				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/21/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/563,659

Applicant(s)

SCHWIND ET AL.

Examiner

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen

Art Unit

1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen - PTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Brian Cocca - App. Rep.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: draft claims.

Claim(s) discussed: draft claims 1, 3-9, 20-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Cocca discussed support for "liquid barrier" which is the sealing element as shown in figures 3-6. Since such a sealing element is not present between the indicator zones, Mr. Cocca argues that the recitation of "lack of a liquid barrier" is supported by the specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641